

## UNITED STA 3 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/197,278 11/20/98 BROWN В 863.2-6769 **EXAMINER** 000490 QM32/0327 WALTER J STEINKRAUS NGO, L VIDAS ARRETT & STEINKRAUS **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 6109 BLUE CIRCLE DRIVE SUITE 2000 3731 MINNEAPOLIS MN 55343-9131 DATE MAILED: 03/27/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Application No. 09/197,278

Applicant(s)

Examiner

. Office Action Summary

Group Art Unit

Brown et al.

Lien Ngo

3731



☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12-6-99	·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure trapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensio 37 CFR 1.136(a).	o respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been
received.	•
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	
$\square$ received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	0
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	U
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	HE FOLLOWING PAGES

Page 2

Serial Number: 09/197278

Art Unit: 3731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1, fig. 2.

Species 2, fig. 4a

Species 3, fig. 4b

Species 4, fig. 5a

Species 5, fig. 5b

Species 6, fig. 6

Species 7, fig. 7

Species 8, fig. 8

Species 9, fig. 9

Species 10, fig. 10

Species 11, fig. 11

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Serial Number: 09/197278

Art Unit: 3731

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 3

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Jonathan Grad on 3/16/00 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

Serial Number: 09/197278 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien Ngo whose telephone number is (703) 305-0294.

W

Lien Ngo

March 24, 2000

MICHAEL BUIZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP 3300 7/24/00